

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 SHEPARD JOHNSON,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-1968 GEB GGH PS

12 vs.

13 CHESTER MITCHELL, et al.,

14 ORDER

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, who proceeds in pro se, moves for leave to file his papers electronically.

18 The local rules of this court provide that “Any person appearing pro se may not
19 utilize electronic filing except with the permission of the assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge.”
20 E. D. Cal. L. R. 133(b)(2). Requests to use electronic filing may be submitted as written motions
21 setting out an explanation of reasons for the requested exception. E. D. Cal. L. R. 133(b)(3).

22 Plaintiff’s request does not provide reasons supporting his request; however, at
23 hearing plaintiff explained that he has experience using electronic filing in other courts. Plaintiff
24 thus far has not exhibited abuse of the filing process.

25 For good cause shown, plaintiff’s motion shall be granted. However, the court
26 will carefully monitor plaintiff’s filings to assess their reasonableness. Should the court discern

1 any unreasonably expansive filings, either in number or volume, this order will be vacated.

2 Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for leave to electronically file all further papers in
3 this case is GRANTED. Plaintiff shall, within 10 days, register at www.caed.uscourts.gov to
4 participate in this court's Case Management /Electronic Case Filing system.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: February 4, 2011

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

7
8

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 GGH:076/Johnson1968.ecf.wpd
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26